

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,830	02/12/2002		Robert M. Batz	062891.0671	9010
5073	7590	03/08/2006		EXAM	NER
BAKER BO	OTTS L.L	л. Р .	WONG, BLANCHE		
2001 ROSS .	AVENUE				
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	X 75201	-2980	2667		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>&</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Summers	10/075,830	BATZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Blanche Wong	2667					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	ebruary 2002.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11-14,16-19,21-24,26-29,31-34,36-39,41-44 and 46-49</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>5,10,15,20,25,30,35,40,45,50</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A441							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Nov'04, Feb'05. 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6-9,11-14,16-19,21-24,26-29,31-34,36-39,41-44,46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dynarski et al. (U.S. Pat No. 6.466.571) in view of Short et al. (U.s. Pat No. 6,636,894).

With regard to claims 1,11,31,41, Dynarski discloses

a gateway (home agent, col. 2, ln. 37, see also home agent/gateway server 22 in Fig. 1A) operable to position an identifier (IP address, col. 2, ln. 43) into a request packet (access-request packet, col. 2, ln. 42); and

a content switch (authentication server, col. 2, ln. 44; see also radius server 28 in Fig. 1A) coupled to the gateway and operable to identify the identifier (identification number, col. 2, ln. 45) and to correlate (mapping, col. 2, ln. 44) the identifier (identification number) to a source (IP address, col. 2, ln. 45) that generated the request packet (access-request packet, col. 2, ln. 42), the content switch (authentication server, col. 2, ln. 44) being further operable to receive the request packet (access-request packet, col. 2, ln. 42) and to position an IP address associated with the source in the request packet (IP destination address matches that of the mobile device, col. 2, ln. 27-28; see also access-accept packet includes the identification number for the device, col.

2, In. 53-54) before communicating the request packet to a next destination (home agent, col. 2, ln. 55-56).

However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show a WAP gateway.

In an analogous art, Short discloses a wireless access point (WAP) for signals transmitted via a wireless network, col. 6, ln. 56-57.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a WAP gateway. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide for signals transmitted via a wireless network. Short, col. 6, In. 57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit of signaling in a wireless network, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1,11,21,31,41.

With regard to claims 2,12,22,32,42, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 1. Dynarski also discloses wherein the content switch (authentication server, col. 2, ln. 43) comprises a table (table, col. 2, ln. 44) that includes one or more identifiers (identification number, col. 2, ln. 45) that correlate (mapping, col. 2, In. 44) to one or more sources (IP address, col. 2, In. 45) respectively, and wherein each of the sources (IP address) is operable to generate one or more request packets (access-request packet, col. 2, ln. 42). However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show a WAP network environment.

In an analogous art, Short discloses a wireless access point (WAP) for signals transmitted via a wireless network, col. 6, 56-57.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a WAP network environment. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide for signals transmitted via a wireless network. Short, col. 6, In. 57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit of signaling in a wireless network, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2,12,22,32,42.

With regard to claims 3,13,23,33,43, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 1. Dynarski also discloses a client service packet gateway (home agent, col. 2, ln. 53) operable to receive the request packet after the IP address associated with the source has been positioned by the content switch (authentication server, col. 2, ln. 52) and to match (mapping, col. 2, ln. 44) one or more IP addresses (IP address, col. 2, ln. 45) with one or more source profiles (identification number, col. 2, ln. 45) in order to provide one or more networking services to one or more selected sources (initiate communication between the device and the remote user, col. 2, ln. 57-58).

With regard to claims 4,14,24,34,44, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 3. Dynarski further discloses the matching (mapping, col. 2, ln. 44) is performed by the CSPG (home agent, col. 2, ln. 53) by accessing and

querying a database (it would have been obvious that a database is used to store the table, col. 2, In. 44).

With regard to claims 6,16,26,36,46, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 3. However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show an AAA server coupled to the CSPG and operable to authenticate the source associated with the request packet.

In an analogous art, Short discloses an AAA server coupled to a gateway (AAA server can be located within the gateway device, col. 4, ln. 56-57) and operable to authenticate a source (AAA = authentication, authorization and accounting, col. 4, ln. 43).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include an AAA server coupled to a gateway and operable to authenticate a source. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, col. 3, In. 28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 6,16,26,36,46.

With regard to claims 7,17,27,37,47, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 6. However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show an AAA server operates to authorize the source associated with the request packet.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,830

Art Unit: 2667

In an analogous art, Short discloses an AAA server operates to authorize a source (AAA = authentication, authorization and accounting, col. 4, ln. 43).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include an AAA server that operates to authorize a source. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, col. 3, ln. 28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 7,17,27,37,47.

With regard to claims 8,18,28,38,48, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 6. However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show an AAA server operates to provide accounting services for the source associated with the request packet.

In an analogous art, Short discloses an AAA server operates to provide accounting services for a source (AAA = authentication, authorization and accounting, col. 4, ln. 43).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include an AAA server operates to provide accounting services for a source. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, col. 3, ln. 28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit

user transparent access to a computer network employing a gateway device, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 8,18,28,38,48.

With regard to claims 9,19,29,39,49, the combination of Dynarski and Short discloses the apparatus of claim 1. Dynarski further discloses a radio access network (RAN) packet gateway (radio tower 48 and wireless base station 44 and CBSC in Fig. 1A) operable to provide a communications link between a mobile station (wireless devices, col. 6, ln. 15) associated with the source (user 1 and 2, 10 and 24 in Fig. 1A) and a gateway (home agent 22 in Fig. 1A). However, Dynarski fails to explicitly show a WAP gateway.

In an analogous art, Short discloses a wireless access point (WAP) for signals transmitted via a wireless network, col. 6, In. 56-57.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a WAP gateway. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide for signals transmitted via a wireless network. Short, col. 6, In. 57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Short with Dynarski for the benefit of signaling in a wireless network, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 9,19,29,39,49.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5,10,15,20,25,30,35,40,45,50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BW

BW

February 15, 2006

HUY D. YU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 260